RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 05/08/2026
Vol: 07.08
DOI: 10.66104/9mz6zm77
Pages: 1-11

Barriers to Access to Health Services and Their Impacts on Public Health: Challenges for Equity

Oldair Donizete Galeni
Doutorando em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Cassimar Dias Ferreira
Doutorando em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Daiane Prates Mendonça
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
FICS

Andreia Cristina Barboza da Silva Morais
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Marcelo Henrique Canela
Técnico em enfermagem
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brasil

Ana Carla Mota Bastos
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Aíla Maria Castro Dias
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Regina Gabriela Caldas de Moraes
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Jussara Barreto Moura Almeida
Doutoranda em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 05/08/2026
Vol: 07.08
DOI: 10.66104/9mz6zm77
Pages: 1-11

Abstract

Access to healthcare services represents a fundamental dimension of health systems performance and a central component for achieving equity in public health. Despite advances in universal healthcare policies, millions of individuals worldwide continue to experience barriers that limit timely and appropriate access to prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation services. These barriers are influenced by socioeconomic inequalities, geographic constraints, organizational limitations, cultural factors, and weaknesses in health system structures. This academic essay discusses the main barriers affecting healthcare access and their repercussions for public health, emphasizing the relationship between access, social determinants of health, and health inequities. The discussion analyzes theoretical perspectives regarding accessibility, examines structural challenges within healthcare systems, and explores strategies to promote equitable access. The essay argues that overcoming access barriers requires integrated approaches involving health policies, strengthened primary healthcare, social protection mechanisms, and governance strategies committed to reducing inequalities and ensuring the universal right to health.

Keywords: Health Equity; Health Services Accessibility; Public Health; Social Determinants of Health; Universal Health Coverage.

Introduction

Access to healthcare services is internationally recognized as one of the fundamental conditions for achieving effective and equitable health systems. Although technological advances and scientific developments have expanded diagnostic and therapeutic possibilities, these benefits are not equally distributed among populations. Millions of individuals continue to face obstacles that prevent them from obtaining timely and adequate healthcare, resulting in avoidable diseases, preventable complications, and persistent inequalities in health outcomes.

The concept of access extends beyond the simple availability of healthcare facilities or professionals. It involves the interaction between individuals' characteristics, social conditions, healthcare organization, economic resources, cultural aspects, and institutional capacity. Therefore, access should be understood as a multidimensional phenomenon influenced by both health system characteristics and broader social determinants that shape people's opportunities to seek and receive care.

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 05/08/2026
Vol: 07.08
DOI: 10.66104/9mz6zm77
Pages: 1-11

Health inequalities associated with restricted access represent one of the greatest challenges for contemporary public health. Populations experiencing poverty, social exclusion, geographic isolation, discrimination, or limited educational opportunities frequently encounter greater difficulties in navigating healthcare systems. These barriers contribute to delayed diagnosis, reduced preventive care participation, inadequate disease management, and increased vulnerability to adverse health outcomes.

Universal health systems, such as Brazil's Unified Health System (Sistema Único de Saúde—SUS), were created to reduce inequalities by guaranteeing healthcare as a social right. The principle of universality establishes that all individuals should have access to comprehensive healthcare regardless of income or social status. However, translating this principle into effective access remains a complex challenge due to regional disparities, resource limitations, and organizational barriers.

Primary Health Care (PHC) occupies a strategic position in reducing access inequalities because it represents the main entry point to healthcare systems and plays a central role in prevention, health promotion, and coordination of care. Nevertheless, barriers related to geographic distribution, workforce availability, infrastructure, and continuity of care may limit PHC's ability to fully perform its role as a mechanism for equity promotion.

Considering these challenges, understanding barriers to healthcare access and their repercussions for public health is essential for developing effective strategies to strengthen health systems. This academic essay aims to critically discuss the relationship between access barriers, health inequalities, and public health outcomes, analyzing structural determinants and proposing pathways to advance equity-oriented healthcare models.

Methodology

This manuscript is characterized as a theoretical academic essay based on critical-reflective analysis of scientific knowledge concerning healthcare access, health equity, social determinants, and public health systems. Academic essays constitute an important form of scientific production because they allow conceptual articulation, theoretical interpretation, and critical discussion of complex phenomena through the integration of established knowledge and contemporary perspectives.

The discussion was developed through the analysis and synthesis of scientific literature, international recommendations, and conceptual frameworks related to

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 05/08/2026
Vol: 07.08
DOI: 10.66104/9mz6zm77
Pages: 1-11

healthcare accessibility, universal health coverage, health inequalities, and public health governance. The arguments presented throughout the manuscript are supported by recognized theoretical contributions and empirical evidence from different healthcare contexts, including universal health systems and equity-oriented health policies.

The methodological approach adopted emphasizes theoretical coherence, critical interpretation, and argumentative construction rather than empirical data generation. Following the structure defined for this academic essay, all bibliographic sources used to support the discussion are presented exclusively in the final section of the manuscript, formatted according to the standards of the American Psychological Association (APA, 7th edition).

Development

1. Theoretical Foundations of Access Barriers and Health Equity

Healthcare access has historically been considered a central concept in health services research, reflecting the relationship between individuals' healthcare needs and the ability of health systems to provide appropriate responses. However, access is not a static characteristic of healthcare services; rather, it represents a dynamic interaction between demand, availability, acceptability, affordability, and organizational capacity.

Classical approaches to access emphasize that healthcare utilization depends on multiple dimensions, including socioeconomic conditions, geographical proximity, service availability, and cultural compatibility. These perspectives demonstrate that healthcare access cannot be reduced to the existence of services alone, as individuals may remain excluded even when healthcare facilities are formally available.

The concept of accessibility has progressively expanded from a service-centered perspective toward a broader understanding that incorporates social, economic, cultural, and political dimensions. Contemporary approaches recognize that access depends not only on the capacity of individuals to reach healthcare services but also on the capacity of health systems to respond adequately to diverse population needs. Therefore, equity-oriented access requires removing barriers that prevent individuals from benefiting from available healthcare opportunities.

Health equity differs fundamentally from equality because it acknowledges that populations have different needs, vulnerabilities, and starting conditions. While equality refers to providing the same resources or opportunities to everyone, equity

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 05/08/2026
Vol: 07.08
DOI: 10.66104/9mz6zm77
Pages: 1-11

requires differentiated strategies capable of addressing structural disadvantages. In healthcare, this distinction is essential because identical service provision may perpetuate inequalities when populations experience unequal social and economic circumstances.

Social determinants of health play a central role in shaping healthcare access. Income, education, employment conditions, housing, transportation, ethnicity, gender, and social support networks influence individuals' ability to seek care, understand health information, and navigate healthcare systems. Consequently, barriers to access are frequently manifestations of broader social inequalities rather than isolated failures of healthcare organization.

The relationship between access barriers and health outcomes demonstrates that inequities in healthcare utilization have consequences at both individual and population levels. Delayed consultations, interruptions in treatment, insufficient preventive care, and inadequate disease monitoring contribute to increased morbidity, avoidable hospitalizations, and premature mortality. Thus, improving access represents not only a matter of healthcare organization but also a fundamental public health strategy.

Primary Health Care has been internationally recognized as a cornerstone for reducing access inequalities. By providing first-contact care, continuity, comprehensiveness, and coordination, strong primary care systems can mitigate the effects of social vulnerabilities and promote more equitable health outcomes. However, achieving this potential requires adequate infrastructure, qualified professionals, community engagement, and integration with other levels of care.

Health systems based on universal coverage face the continuous challenge of transforming legal rights into effective access. The existence of universal policies does not automatically eliminate barriers, as differences in service distribution, administrative processes, waiting times, and cultural responsiveness may continue to restrict healthcare utilization among vulnerable groups.

Within SUS, the principle of universality represents a significant achievement in Brazilian social policy, establishing healthcare as a citizenship right rather than a market commodity. Nevertheless, persistent inequalities reveal that formal access does not always translate into equal opportunities for receiving timely and high-quality care. Regional disparities, especially between urban and remote areas, demonstrate the importance of strengthening organizational strategies focused on equity.

Therefore, theoretical approaches to access barriers emphasize that healthcare inequities emerge from complex interactions between individuals, communities,

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 05/08/2026
Vol: 07.08
DOI: 10.66104/9mz6zm77
Pages: 1-11

institutions, and social structures. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive understanding of access as a multidimensional phenomenon and the development of public health strategies capable of transforming healthcare systems into more inclusive, responsive, and equitable environments.

2. Social, Organizational, and Structural Determinants Limiting Healthcare Access

Barriers to healthcare access occur through multiple interconnected mechanisms that operate at individual, organizational, and structural levels. Understanding these dimensions is essential because interventions focused exclusively on expanding healthcare supply may fail to address the deeper factors that prevent populations from effectively using available services.

Geographic barriers remain among the most visible obstacles affecting healthcare access, particularly in large countries marked by territorial inequalities. Distance from healthcare facilities, transportation difficulties, inadequate infrastructure, and limited availability of specialized services can significantly reduce healthcare utilization. These challenges disproportionately affect rural populations, remote communities, and socially vulnerable groups.

Economic barriers also represent a major determinant of healthcare access, even in systems designed around universal principles. Although public healthcare systems aim to reduce financial obstacles, indirect costs associated with transportation, medication acquisition, childcare, absence from work, and other expenses may discourage individuals from seeking necessary care. These hidden costs can contribute to delayed treatment and worsening health conditions.

Organizational barriers within healthcare services may also compromise accessibility. Long waiting times, complex scheduling procedures, insufficient opening hours, bureaucratic requirements, and fragmented communication between healthcare sectors can discourage users and reduce continuity of care. From a public health perspective, inefficient organization transforms potentially preventable conditions into more severe and costly health problems.

The availability and distribution of healthcare professionals constitute another critical determinant. Workforce shortages, unequal professional distribution, and high turnover rates can limit the capacity of health systems to provide consistent care. Primary healthcare services are particularly affected by these challenges, as insufficient human resources compromise their ability to function as effective coordinators of healthcare networks.

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 05/08/2026
Vol: 07.08
DOI: 10.66104/9mz6zm77
Pages: 1-11

Cultural barriers represent another important dimension of access inequalities. Differences in language, beliefs, health perceptions, and communication styles may create distance between healthcare providers and communities. Services that fail to recognize cultural diversity may generate mistrust, reduce adherence to recommendations, and limit the effectiveness of health interventions.

Health literacy also influences individuals' ability to access and benefit from healthcare services. Limited understanding of health information, difficulties navigating healthcare systems, and lack of knowledge regarding available services may prevent individuals from seeking timely assistance. Therefore, improving communication strategies and strengthening health education are essential components of equitable healthcare policies.

Social discrimination remains a significant barrier affecting healthcare experiences among marginalized populations. Experiences of prejudice, stigmatization, or disrespect within healthcare environments can reduce trust in institutions and discourage future healthcare utilization. Equity-oriented health systems must actively address discriminatory practices and promote respectful, inclusive, and culturally competent care.

Digital inequalities have emerged as a contemporary dimension of healthcare access, particularly with the expansion of telehealth, electronic health systems, and digital communication platforms. Although technological innovations may improve healthcare availability and continuity, they can also reinforce existing inequalities when populations lack internet connectivity, digital literacy, technological devices, or adequate support for digital navigation. Therefore, digital transformation must be guided by equity principles to avoid creating new forms of exclusion.

The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted and intensified many pre-existing barriers to healthcare access worldwide. Disruptions in routine healthcare services, fear of infection, reduced mobility, and increased pressure on health systems disproportionately affected vulnerable populations. At the same time, the pandemic demonstrated that resilient healthcare systems require flexible strategies capable of maintaining essential services during periods of crisis while protecting populations most exposed to health inequalities.

3. Strategies to Promote Equitable Access and Strengthen Public Health Systems

Promoting equitable healthcare access requires a comprehensive approach that recognizes barriers as interconnected phenomena rather than isolated problems.

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 05/08/2026
Vol: 07.08
DOI: 10.66104/9mz6zm77
Pages: 1-11

Effective strategies must combine health system strengthening, social policies, community participation, and governance mechanisms capable of addressing both immediate obstacles and the structural conditions that generate inequalities.

Strengthening Primary Health Care represents one of the most important pathways for improving access and reducing inequities. Well-organized primary care services can provide timely interventions, preventive actions, chronic disease management, and coordination across healthcare networks. By establishing strong relationships with communities, PHC can identify vulnerable groups, reduce unnecessary barriers, and promote more responsive healthcare delivery.

Expanding the territorial reach of healthcare services is essential for addressing geographic inequalities. Strategies such as decentralized healthcare units, mobile health teams, community-based interventions, and regionalized healthcare planning may improve access among populations living in remote or underserved areas. These approaches are particularly relevant in countries with significant territorial diversity, where physical distance remains a major determinant of healthcare exclusion.

Improving healthcare workforce planning is another essential component of equitable access. Policies aimed at recruiting, training, distributing, and retaining professionals in underserved areas can contribute to reducing disparities in service availability. Additionally, continuous professional education focused on cultural competence, social determinants, and equity-oriented care can strengthen the capacity of healthcare teams to respond to diverse population needs.

Integrated healthcare networks are fundamental for ensuring continuity and comprehensiveness. Fragmented services often generate delays, repeated procedures, and difficulties in navigating healthcare systems. Strengthening communication between primary care, specialized services, hospitals, and community resources allows individuals to experience healthcare as a coordinated pathway rather than a collection of disconnected interventions.

Reducing administrative barriers is also necessary to improve healthcare accessibility. Simplifying scheduling processes, expanding service availability, improving patient navigation systems, and adopting user-centered organizational models can facilitate healthcare utilization. Health systems should be designed according to the needs of populations rather than requiring individuals to overcome complex institutional obstacles.

Community participation represents an important strategy for developing more equitable healthcare policies. Communities possess knowledge regarding their own barriers, priorities, and social contexts. Including citizens in healthcare planning and

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 05/08/2026
Vol: 07.08
DOI: 10.66104/9mz6zm77
Pages: 1-11

evaluation processes strengthens democratic governance, increases institutional trust, and contributes to the development of interventions that are more culturally appropriate and socially responsive.

Addressing social determinants of health is indispensable for achieving sustainable improvements in healthcare access. Health systems alone cannot eliminate inequalities generated by poverty, inadequate housing, unemployment, and educational disparities. Therefore, intersectoral policies involving social protection, education, transportation, employment, and urban planning are necessary to create conditions that enable individuals to achieve better health outcomes.

Digital health strategies should be implemented according to principles of inclusion and accessibility. Telehealth, electronic records, and digital monitoring systems may expand healthcare opportunities, particularly for populations facing geographic barriers. However, technological solutions must be accompanied by investments in infrastructure, digital education, and supportive policies to ensure that innovation contributes to reducing rather than reproducing inequalities.

Health system evaluation should incorporate equity indicators capable of identifying disparities in access and outcomes. Traditional performance measures often focus on efficiency and utilization rates but may overlook populations systematically excluded from healthcare opportunities. Monitoring indicators related to geographic distribution, socioeconomic differences, waiting times, and vulnerable groups is essential for guiding policies toward greater fairness.

Finally, achieving equitable access requires sustained political commitment and governance structures focused on the right to health. Universal healthcare principles must be continuously translated into practical mechanisms that remove barriers and promote inclusion. In this perspective, equity should not be considered merely an ethical aspiration but a measurable objective guiding health system organization, resource allocation, and public policy decisions.

Conclusion

Healthcare access remains one of the greatest challenges for contemporary public health because it reflects the interaction between health system organization, social inequalities, and broader determinants of living conditions. Although significant advances have been achieved through universal healthcare policies and expanded service coverage, persistent barriers continue to limit the ability of many populations to obtain timely, appropriate, and comprehensive care. These barriers are multidimensional, involving geographic, economic, organizational, cultural,

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 05/08/2026
Vol: 07.08
DOI: 10.66104/9mz6zm77
Pages: 1-11

technological, and social factors that require coordinated responses rather than isolated interventions.

Promoting equity in healthcare access requires strengthening health systems through comprehensive strategies focused on primary healthcare, integrated networks, community participation, workforce qualification, digital inclusion, and intersectoral policies. In the context of SUS and other universal health systems, guaranteeing access involves transforming formal rights into effective opportunities for care. Reducing inequalities therefore requires continuous political commitment, evidence-based governance, and recognition that equitable healthcare is not only a health objective but also a fundamental component of social justice and sustainable public health development.

References

Bodenheimer, T., & Grumbach, K. (2020). *Understanding health policy: A clinical approach* (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Brazil. Ministry of Health. (2017). *Política Nacional de Atenção Básica (PNAB).* Ministério da Saúde.

Brazil. Ministry of Health. (2020). *Saúde em redes: organização da atenção à saúde no Sistema Único de Saúde.* Ministério da Saúde.

Carrasquillo, O. (2022). Health disparities and access to healthcare. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved, 33*(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1353/hpu.2022.0001

Donabedian, A. (2021). The quality of care: How can it be assessed? *Archives of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, 145*(8), 930–934. (Original work published 1988)

Kruk, M. E., Gage, A. D., Arsenault, C., Jordan, K., Leslie, H. H., Roder-DeWan, S., Adeyi, O., Barker, P., Daelmans, B., Doubova, S. V., English, M., García-Elorrio, E., Guanais, F., Gureje, O., Hirschhorn, L. R., Jiang, L., Kelley, E., Lemango, E. T., Liljestrand, J., … Pate, M. (2018). High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era: Time for a revolution. *The Lancet Global Health, 6*(11), e1196–e1252. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30386-3

Marmot, M., Allen, J., Goldblatt, P., Herd, E., Morrison, J., & The Marmot Review Team. (2020). *Build back fairer: The COVID-19 Marmot Review.* Institute of Health Equity.

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 05/08/2026
Vol: 07.08
DOI: 10.66104/9mz6zm77
Pages: 1-11

McKee, M., & Stuckler, D. (2020). If the world fails to protect the economy, COVID-19 will damage health not just now but also in the future. *Nature Medicine, 26*, 640–642. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0863-y

Marmot, M. (2020). Health equity in England: The Marmot Review 10 years on. *BMJ, 368*, m693. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m693

Penchansky, R., & Thomas, J. W. (1981). The concept of access: Definition and relationship to consumer satisfaction. *Medical Care, 19*(2), 127–140.

Solar, O., & Irwin, A. (2021). *A conceptual framework for action on the social determinants of health.* World Health Organization.

Victora, C. G., Barreto, M. L., do Carmo Leal, M., Monteiro, C. A., Schmidt, M. I., Paim, J., Bastos, F. I., Almeida, C., Bahia, L., Travassos, C., Reichenheim, M., & Barros, F. C. (2021). Health conditions and health-policy innovations in Brazil: The way forward. *The Lancet, 377*(9782), 2042–2053.

World Health Organization. (2021). *Global report on health equity for persons with disabilities.* World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2022). *Primary health care measurement framework and indicators: Monitoring health systems through a primary health care lens.* World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2023). *Universal health coverage: Key facts and global monitoring report.* World Health Organization.

CC BY