RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

Occupational Factors Associated with Mental Exhaustion among Public Health Professionals: Challenges for Workforce Sustainability

Cassimar Dias Ferreira
Doutorando em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina
priccf@gmail.com

Oldair Donizete Galeni
Doutorando em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Sheila Érika Ferro Ramalho Nobre
Doutorando em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Karen de Fátima Figueroa Bohórquez
Doutorando em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Samuel de Sousa Ribeiro
Doutorando em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Helena Vilela da Cunha
Mestre em gestão de ciência
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brasil

Simonia Mara de Oliveira
Mestre em Administração
Universidade da Amazonia

Samuel Ribeiro Martins
Doutorando em Políticas Sociais
Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense Darcy Ribeiro, Brasil

Celia Fabricio de Souza Rezende
Doutorando em Saúde Pública
Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

Abstract

Mental exhaustion among healthcare professionals has become a major public health concern due to its consequences for workers' well-being, quality of care, and health system sustainability. Professionals working in public health services are frequently exposed to complex occupational conditions, including excessive workload, emotional demands, organizational limitations, resource constraints, and continuous exposure to human suffering. This academic essay discusses the occupational factors associated with mental exhaustion among public health professionals, emphasizing the relationship between work environments, psychosocial risks, and professional health. The discussion explores theoretical perspectives on occupational stress, analyzes organizational and institutional determinants influencing psychological exhaustion, and examines strategies to promote healthier work environments. The essay argues that addressing mental exhaustion requires systemic interventions involving workplace organization, leadership practices, professional support, occupational health policies, and recognition of healthcare workers as essential components of resilient public health systems.

Keywords: Burnout, Professional; Occupational Health; Public Health; Stress, Psychological; Health Personnel.

Introduction

Healthcare professionals represent one of the most essential components of public health systems, providing services that directly influence population health outcomes and social well-being. However, the complexity and intensity of healthcare work expose professionals to multiple occupational challenges that may negatively affect their physical and psychological health. Among these challenges, mental exhaustion has emerged as a critical concern due to its association with reduced professional quality of life, decreased work satisfaction, and increased vulnerability to occupational burnout.

Mental exhaustion is a central dimension of occupational stress syndromes, particularly burnout, which is characterized by emotional depletion, reduced professional effectiveness, and psychological distancing from work activities. Although healthcare professionals frequently experience stress as part of their daily responsibilities, persistent exposure to unfavorable occupational conditions may

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

transform temporary stress responses into chronic psychological suffering, affecting both individuals and healthcare organizations.

Public health professionals often work under conditions marked by high responsibility, limited resources, and complex social demands. Professionals involved in primary healthcare, emergency services, hospitals, and community-based programs frequently encounter situations involving human vulnerability, chronic illness, violence, poverty, and social inequalities. These circumstances require continuous emotional regulation and decision-making capacity, increasing occupational psychological demands.

The organization of healthcare work plays a fundamental role in determining professionals' mental health. Excessive workload, inadequate staffing, insufficient infrastructure, administrative pressures, limited autonomy, and lack of institutional recognition are recognized as important occupational factors associated with psychological exhaustion. Therefore, mental health problems among healthcare workers cannot be understood exclusively as individual vulnerabilities but must also be analyzed through organizational and structural perspectives.

Within public healthcare systems, such as Brazil's Unified Health System (Sistema Único de Saúde—SUS), professionals face additional challenges related to service complexity, regional inequalities, resource limitations, and growing healthcare demands. Although SUS represents a fundamental social achievement, the sustainability of its workforce depends on ensuring adequate working conditions and implementing strategies that protect professionals' mental health.

The COVID-19 pandemic intensified discussions regarding occupational mental health among healthcare workers worldwide. Increased workload, fear of infection, emotional burden, professional losses, and prolonged exposure to crisis situations highlighted pre-existing vulnerabilities within healthcare workplaces. However, these challenges also reinforced the importance of developing organizational strategies aimed at preventing psychological exhaustion and promoting healthier professional environments.

Considering these issues, this academic essay aims to critically discuss the occupational factors associated with mental exhaustion among public health professionals. The manuscript analyzes theoretical perspectives regarding occupational stress, examines organizational and psychosocial determinants affecting healthcare workers, and explores strategies capable of strengthening occupational mental health as an essential component of sustainable and resilient public health systems.

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

Methodology

This manuscript is characterized as a theoretical academic essay developed through critical-reflective analysis of scientific knowledge concerning occupational health, mental exhaustion, burnout, and psychosocial risks among healthcare professionals. Academic essays represent an important methodological approach in health sciences because they allow conceptual discussion, theoretical integration, and critical interpretation of complex phenomena based on established scientific knowledge.

The discussion was constructed through the articulation of contemporary scientific literature, theoretical frameworks of occupational stress, international recommendations regarding healthcare workers' mental health, and public health perspectives addressing workforce sustainability. The analysis considers individual, organizational, and structural dimensions involved in the relationship between healthcare work and psychological exhaustion.

The methodological approach adopted emphasizes theoretical coherence, critical synthesis, and argumentative development rather than empirical data collection. Following the structure established for this manuscript, all scientific references supporting the arguments presented throughout the essay are included exclusively in the final section, formatted according to the standards of the American Psychological Association (APA, 7th edition).

Development

1. Theoretical Foundations of Occupational Factors and Mental Exhaustion among Public Health Professionals

Occupational mental exhaustion has been increasingly recognized as a complex phenomenon resulting from prolonged exposure to work-related demands that exceed individuals' adaptive resources. In healthcare settings, this condition is particularly relevant because professional activities involve continuous interaction with human suffering, complex decision-making, ethical responsibilities, and emotional challenges.

The theoretical understanding of occupational exhaustion has been strongly influenced by models of job stress and burnout that emphasize the interaction between workplace demands and available resources. According to these perspectives, psychological distress emerges when high professional demands are combined with insufficient organizational support, reduced autonomy, and limited opportunities for recovery.

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

The Job Demands–Resources model represents one of the most influential theoretical frameworks for understanding occupational exhaustion among healthcare workers. This model proposes that every professional environment contains demands that require physical, cognitive, or emotional effort, as well as resources that support motivation, resilience, and professional performance. When occupational demands persistently exceed available resources, workers become more vulnerable to exhaustion and psychological distress.

In healthcare environments, occupational demands are particularly intense because professionals are responsible for decisions that directly affect human lives. The need to manage complex clinical situations, respond to emergencies, communicate difficult information, and provide emotional support to patients and families creates a continuous psychological burden. Over time, these demands may contribute to emotional depletion when appropriate organizational resources are unavailable.

Mental exhaustion should not be interpreted merely as an individual inability to cope with professional challenges. Contemporary occupational health perspectives emphasize that psychological suffering among healthcare workers is frequently produced by interactions between individual experiences and workplace structures. Therefore, prevention strategies must address organizational conditions rather than focusing exclusively on personal resilience or stress management techniques.

The concept of psychosocial risks at work has expanded the understanding of occupational health by recognizing that factors such as excessive workload, lack of control, workplace conflicts, inadequate leadership, job insecurity, and insufficient recognition can affect workers' mental health. In healthcare settings, these risks are particularly significant because they occur within environments where professionals must maintain high levels of performance despite demanding conditions.

Public health professionals experience additional occupational pressures due to the social complexity of their work. Beyond technical healthcare responsibilities, many professionals address poverty, social vulnerability, chronic diseases, violence, and limited access to social resources. These circumstances require not only clinical competence but also emotional engagement and social sensitivity, increasing psychological demands.

Another important theoretical dimension concerns the relationship between professional identity and mental exhaustion. Healthcare workers often develop strong ethical commitments toward caring for others, which can be a source of professional meaning and satisfaction. However, when organizational conditions prevent professionals from providing the quality of care they consider appropriate, experiences of frustration, moral distress, and emotional exhaustion may emerge.

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

The distinction between normal occupational stress and pathological exhaustion is essential for understanding healthcare workers' mental health. Stress may represent an adaptive response to temporary challenges, while persistent exposure to uncontrolled demands without adequate recovery opportunities can lead to chronic exhaustion, reduced professional functioning, and increased risk of burnout-related outcomes.

Therefore, theoretical approaches to occupational exhaustion demonstrate that healthcare workers' mental health is inseparable from the organization of work itself. Understanding exhaustion as a multidimensional occupational phenomenon allows public health systems to develop more effective interventions, moving beyond individual-focused approaches toward comprehensive strategies aimed at transforming workplace environments.

2. Organizational, Psychosocial, and Institutional Determinants of Occupational Burnout in Healthcare Workers

The development of mental exhaustion among healthcare professionals is strongly influenced by organizational characteristics and workplace conditions. Although individual factors such as coping strategies and personal characteristics may influence responses to occupational stress, evidence indicates that institutional environments play a central role in determining whether professional demands become sources of chronic psychological suffering.

Workload represents one of the most frequently identified occupational factors associated with exhaustion among healthcare professionals. Excessive working hours, insufficient staffing, multiple responsibilities, and high patient volumes reduce opportunities for rest and recovery. In public health services, these challenges are often intensified by increasing population demands and limited organizational resources.

Emotional demands constitute another important determinant of occupational exhaustion. Healthcare professionals frequently encounter situations involving pain, disability, death, family distress, and social vulnerability. Continuous exposure to these experiences requires emotional regulation and empathy, which may become psychologically exhausting when professionals lack institutional support and opportunities for emotional processing.

Workplace autonomy and professional control also influence mental health outcomes. Professionals who perceive limited ability to participate in decision-making processes or modify problematic work conditions may experience reduced

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

motivation and increased frustration. Conversely, environments that promote participation, professional recognition, and shared governance tend to strengthen engagement and occupational satisfaction.

Leadership and organizational culture are additional elements affecting healthcare workers' psychological well-being. Supportive leadership practices, transparent communication, and recognition of professional contributions can function as protective factors against exhaustion. In contrast, authoritarian management styles, poor communication, and lack of institutional appreciation may increase occupational stress and contribute to emotional disengagement.

Interpersonal relationships within healthcare teams also influence professional mental health. Collaborative environments characterized by mutual support, respect, and effective communication can reduce the negative effects of occupational demands. However, workplace conflicts, interpersonal tensions, and lack of team cohesion may amplify psychological burden and contribute to feelings of isolation.

Job insecurity and employment conditions represent further determinants of occupational exhaustion. Temporary contracts, uncertainty regarding professional continuity, inadequate salaries, and limited career development opportunities may generate chronic stress. These factors are particularly relevant in public health contexts where workforce instability can affect both professionals' well-being and service quality.

The mismatch between professional expectations and organizational realities is another source of psychological distress. Healthcare workers often enter their professions motivated by values of care, social responsibility, and human commitment. When structural limitations prevent them from delivering the care they consider appropriate, they may experience moral distress and feelings of professional inadequacy.

The absence of institutional strategies for promoting occupational mental health represents an additional challenge within healthcare organizations. Many health services still respond to psychological suffering only after symptoms become severe, rather than implementing preventive approaches focused on workplace conditions, early identification of distress, and continuous professional support. This reactive model limits the effectiveness of interventions and reinforces the idea that individual workers are responsible for adapting to unhealthy environments.

The COVID-19 pandemic revealed the magnitude of psychosocial risks experienced by healthcare professionals. Beyond the immediate exposure to infection, workers faced increased workloads, uncertainty, fear of transmitting the disease to family

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

members, ethical dilemmas, and prolonged emotional pressure. Although these circumstances were intensified during the pandemic, they also exposed longstanding organizational vulnerabilities that require structural responses beyond crisis periods.

3. Strategies for Promoting Occupational Mental Health and Strengthening Public Health Workforce Sustainability

Promoting occupational mental health among public health professionals requires a systemic approach capable of addressing the multiple determinants involved in psychological exhaustion. Individual interventions, such as stress management programs and psychological counseling, may provide important support, but they are insufficient when implemented without simultaneous improvements in organizational structures and working conditions.

One essential strategy involves redesigning healthcare work environments to reduce excessive occupational demands and increase available resources. Adequate staffing levels, balanced workload distribution, appropriate scheduling, and sufficient recovery periods are fundamental measures for preventing chronic exhaustion. Health systems that prioritize workforce protection are more capable of maintaining quality services and responding effectively to population needs.

Strengthening organizational leadership represents another important pathway for improving healthcare workers' mental health. Managers and supervisors influence workplace climate, professional recognition, communication processes, and team functioning. Leadership models based on collaboration, transparency, and support can contribute to creating environments where professionals feel valued and psychologically protected.

The development of institutional mental health programs should become an integral component of healthcare management. These programs should not be limited to treating psychological disorders but should include prevention initiatives, workplace assessments, peer-support strategies, and mechanisms for identifying occupational risks. Integrating mental health promotion into organizational policies reinforces the understanding that worker well-being is a collective responsibility.

Interdisciplinary support networks may also contribute to reducing occupational exhaustion. Healthcare professionals frequently experience emotional burdens related to patient care, ethical conflicts, and difficult clinical decisions. Structured opportunities for team reflection, case discussion, and emotional support can

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

strengthen professional resilience while maintaining the collaborative dimension of healthcare work.

Investing in continuing education and professional development represents another strategy for strengthening workforce sustainability. Opportunities for learning, career progression, and acquisition of new competencies increase professional confidence and motivation. Conversely, limited opportunities for development may contribute to frustration, stagnation, and reduced engagement with work.

Health policies should incorporate occupational health as a central component of public health system planning. Protecting healthcare workers is not only an ethical obligation but also a strategic investment in healthcare quality. Systems that neglect workforce mental health may experience increased absenteeism, turnover, reduced productivity, and difficulties maintaining continuity of care.

The expansion of psychosocial support mechanisms within public health services is particularly important. Accessible psychological assistance, confidential counseling, occupational health services, and institutional referral pathways can help professionals manage emotional challenges before they develop into severe psychological conditions. These resources should be integrated into routine healthcare management rather than activated only during emergencies.

Digital technologies may also contribute to supporting healthcare workers' mental health when implemented appropriately. Online psychological support, digital education platforms, and occupational monitoring systems may increase access to assistance, particularly in geographically dispersed health networks. However, technological interventions should complement, rather than replace, human-centered organizational strategies.

Another relevant approach involves strengthening participatory management practices. Healthcare professionals possess valuable knowledge regarding workplace challenges and possible solutions. Creating spaces where workers can contribute to organizational decisions promotes autonomy, increases institutional commitment, and supports the development of interventions aligned with real occupational experiences.

Finally, addressing mental exhaustion among public health professionals requires a cultural transformation in how healthcare systems understand and value their workforce. Professionals should not be viewed merely as resources for service delivery but as individuals whose health and dignity are essential components of sustainable healthcare systems. Protecting workers' mental health represents an investment in the future capacity, quality, and resilience of public health services.

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

Conclusion

Mental exhaustion among public health professionals represents a complex occupational phenomenon influenced by the interaction between individual experiences, organizational conditions, and broader health system structures. The increasing complexity of healthcare demands, combined with workload pressures, emotional challenges, limited resources, and institutional constraints, creates environments where psychological suffering may become a persistent reality for many workers. Understanding exhaustion as an occupational and organizational issue is essential to avoid approaches that individualize responsibility and overlook the structural determinants of professional mental health.

Strengthening occupational mental health requires comprehensive strategies capable of transforming healthcare workplaces through better management practices, supportive leadership, adequate working conditions, professional recognition, and integrated occupational health policies. In public health systems, protecting healthcare professionals is fundamental for ensuring service quality, continuity of care, and system sustainability. Therefore, investments in workforce well-being should be recognized as a central component of public health governance, contributing not only to healthier professionals but also to more resilient and equitable healthcare systems.

References

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 22*(3), 273–285. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000056

Boniol, M., Kunjumen, T., Nair, T. S., Siyam, A., Campbell, J., & Diallo, K. (2022). The global health workforce stock and distribution in 2020 and 2030: A threat to equity? *Human Resources for Health, 20*, 65. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-022-00785-2

Brazil. Ministry of Health. (2018). *Política Nacional de Saúde do Trabalhador e da Trabalhadora.* Ministério da Saúde.

Cavanagh, N., Cockett, G., Heinrich, C., Doig, L., Fiest, K., Guichon, J. R., Page, S., Mitchell, I., & Doig, C. J. (2020). Compassion fatigue in healthcare providers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nursing Ethics, 27*(3), 639–665. https://doi.org/10.1177/0969733019889400

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

Dall'Ora, C., Ball, J., Reinius, M., & Griffiths, P. (2020). Burnout in nursing: A theoretical review. *Human Resources for Health, 18*, 41. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-020-00469-9

De Hert, S. (2020). Burnout in healthcare workers: Prevalence, impact and preventative strategies. *Local and Regional Anesthesia, 13*, 171–183. https://doi.org/10.2147/LRA.S240564

Fiorilli, C., Buonomo, I., Romano, L., et al. (2022). Teacher and healthcare workers' burnout during COVID-19: The role of organizational support and psychological resources. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 879234. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.879234

Greenberg, N., Docherty, M., Gnanapragasam, S., & Wessely, S. (2020). Managing mental health challenges faced by healthcare workers during COVID-19 pandemic. *BMJ, 368*, m1211. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1211

Lai, J., Ma, S., Wang, Y., Cai, Z., Hu, J., Wei, N., Wu, J., Du, H., Chen, T., Li, R., Tan, H., Kang, L., Yao, L., Huang, M., Wang, H., Wang, G., Liu, Z., & Hu, S. (2020). Factors associated with mental health outcomes among healthcare workers exposed to coronavirus disease 2019. *JAMA Network Open, 3*(3), e203976. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976

Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2022). *The burnout challenge: Managing people's relationships with their jobs.* Harvard University Press.

Niedhammer, I., Bertrais, S., & Witt, K. (2021). Psychosocial work exposures and health outcomes: A review of occupational stress models. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18*(20), 10548. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182010548

Panagioti, M., Geraghty, K., Johnson, J., Zhou, A., Panagopoulou, E., Chew-Graham, C., Peters, D., Hodkinson, A., & Esmail, A. (2018). Association between physician burnout and identification of patient safety incidents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Internal Medicine, 178*(10), 1317–1331. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.3713

Sasangohar, F., Jones, C. M., Masud, F. N., Vahidy, F. S., & Kash, B. A. (2020). Provider burnout and fatigue during the COVID-19 pandemic: Lessons learned from a high-volume health system. *JMIR Human Factors, 7*(3), e19503. https://doi.org/10.2196/19503

World Health Organization. (2020). *Health workforce policy and management in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic response.* World Health Organization.

CC BY

RJNM
ISSN 2675-4312

Received: 13/07/2026 - **Accepted:** 07/08/2026
Vol: 07.08 - August
DOI: 10.66104/efcjn575
Pages: 1-12

World Health Organization. (2022). *WHO guidelines on mental health at work.* World Health Organization.

CC BY